## Office Memorandum • united states government

Mr. W. F. Friedman, AFSA-14, Room 19232A, MCS DATE: 25 April 1950

FROM: Mr. Henry B. Stauffer, AFSA-03A5, Room 3109, NCS

SUBJECT: Hebern Preliminary Report

1. Cancel pages 4, 8, and 12 and substitute therefor Inclesures 1. 2. and 3 hereof.

2. Cancel pages 14, 15, and 16 and substitute therefor Inclesure 4

hereof.

Chief. AFSA-03A5

3. Make Inclesure 5 a part of Exhibit B.

1. New pg 4 2. New pg 8

5 Incls

3. New pg 12

4. New pg 14 5. Add to Exh B

lassified and approved for release by NSA on 3-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526



monstitute the Hebern Cryptographic mass. All of the claims call for a plurality of ratchet wheels and code wheels, each of the ratchet wheels having a peripheral flange thereon, the flange (or a cam notch therein. Claim 19) serving to control the stepping of some other ratchet wheel and one of the code wheels of the aryptographic mase. These claims, if infringed , all, are infringed only by the SIGPOY which, alone among United States Army devices, employs cam profiles on its rotors (similar to Hebern's flanges) to render imagerative the operative means for producing rotor movement. It can be fairly argued, however, that an integrated rotor assembly comprising circuit interconnections, stepping track, and peripheral cam profile (as used in the SIGFOT is not the substantial equivalent of Hebern's code wheel, ratchet wheel with its periphoral flange, and connecting linkage. The point would be of less importance except for the fact that, if the Covernment unitary structure be considered the substantial equivalent of the arrangement of these claims from the standpoint of infringement, then it is possible that many claims of a later Hebern patent here involved (1,861,857) are void for double patenting. The presumption of validity as regards the early case thus might negative infringement of these claims. A further consideration along these lines is that the arrangement of Mebern provides a function impossible in the integral SIGFOX structure, manely: relative adjustment of code wheel and ratchet wheel, all of the claims of this group, furthermore, can be read as requiring a single canning noteh (this is specific in Claim 19) and on this basis, alone, are not infringed;

152,565, and (French), 514,062, and Claims 51 and 52 by the lastmentioned patents to A. B. Cryptograph. Additionally, it is likely
that machines manufactured by Chiffriermachinen Akt.-Ges., Serlin,
and wold under the tradenase "Enigma" (which would anticipate these
elaims), were known before the Sebern invention; but the earliest
date that can so far be fixed in a demonstration in Switzerland in
December, 1923.

5. a. With respect to Metern patent 1,861,857, Claims 1 through 8 appelify means for selectively preventing notion of one or nore rotors in a cryptographic mass or for selectively varying the relative speeds thereof. Since no military cryptographs have "selective means for preventing normal normal normant" of the rotors (Claims 1 through 3) or "selective means for varying (their) relative speeds from zero to maximum speed" (Claim 5), these claims are considered not infringed. Claims 4 and 6 through 8, which cover selective means for varying the relative speeds of any one or more rotors, with no restrictions on the amount or type of variation, may be infringed by the SIGBRAT, but are thought to be amticipated by Rech, 1,533,252, and possibly by A. N. Cryptograph (British), 197,763, and (French), 548,093; of interest also is Mahnoe, 1,472,775;

b. Claims 9 through 13 are directed to means for controlling the order wheel (rotor) movement, such means comprising can profiles "adapted to re-volve with (a) sode sheel" (Claims 9 and 13), or formed on the rotors themselves (Claims 10-12). The type of movement specified is such that gra-

And F. V

REF ID: A2436312

SERBET

7. Since Army Security Agency contract files are fragmentary, and the Signal Corps has transferred many of its files to St. Louis, Eissouri, for storage, no ememotive study has been made of contracts relating to the development and procurement of Gevernment cryptographic equipments. Sont of these contracts contained so-called save-barmless indemnity clauses, but the clauses relieved the contractors of liability whenever their work was based on definite Covarment specifications, and this was the usual case. Three old contracts are known to have contained broader clauses, indicating contractor liability. Copies of these have been ordered but have not yet been received.

8. A 19st of the most significant patents considered in commertion with the Hebern claim is appended, Exhibit B.

frict 1

## REF ID: A2436312

## EIHIBIT A (contd)

PATRITIE C	CURTRY	FILIX	DATE	FUBLI	CAT	CON PATE	PATONI JUNIOR
Deser Uni Stafferd Forden		14 Ju	7 1909 1 1911 - 1900	14	May	1910 1912 1901	957,753 1,026,473 689,447