

MEMO READING SLIP		NEVER USE FOR APPROVALS, CONCURRENCES, OR SIM.	APPROVALS, ACTIONS
1 NAME OR TITLE <u>Mr. J. F. Friedman</u>	INITIALS	CIRCULATE	
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION <u>310 2nd St. S. E.</u>	DATE	COORDINATION	
<u>Washington 3 D. C.</u>		FILE	
		INFORMATION	
3		NECESSARY ACTION	
		NOTE AND RETURN	
4		SEE ME	
		SIGNATURE	

## REMARKS

As we discussed 7 December 1955, I am inclosing six copies of Department of Defense Directive No. 2000.3, dated 15 April 1954. Each copy is accompanied by an Inclosure 1, and a single copy of Inclosure 2 (with which I think you are familiar) is included.

I am forwarding also a single copy of a letter from the Comptroller General of the United States to the Secretary of the Army, dated 24 March 1949, relating to the claim of one Harry A. Knox for the infringement by the United Kingdom of certain patents owned by him covering inventions made by him while a Government employee.

Approved for Release by NSA on 05-21-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

FROM NAME OR TITLE <u>HENRY B. STAUFFER, NSA 3024</u>	DATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION <u>National Security Agency, Washington, D.C.</u>	TELEPHONE <u>9 Dec. 55</u>
DD FORM 95 1 FEB 50	Replaces DA AGO Form 895, 1 Apr 48, and AFHQ Form 12, 10 Nov 47, which may be used.
16-48487-4 GPO	

COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON 25

Feb 1949

MAR 24 1949

The Honorable,

The Secretary of the Army.

My dear Mr. Secretary:

Reference is made to letter of November 30, 1948, with enclosure, from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, presenting for consideration the proposed settlement by the Department of the Army of a claim in favor of Harry A. Knox for the infringement of certain patents owned by him relating to the construction of military tanks and assemblies therefor.

It appears that, prior to January 1, 1942, the United Kingdom entered into certain contracts with United States concerns for the construction of a number of military tanks and assemblies. In these contracts, the United Kingdom agreed to indemnify the manufacturers against any and all claims for patent infringements incident to such manufacture. The tanks and assemblies covered by the contracts were constructed for and delivered to the United Kingdom until the time when the contracts were taken over by the United States pursuant to "take-over" agreements entered into as a result of the determination, under lend-lease arrangements, that the United States would administer all war construction in this country and that the United Kingdom would administer all war construction within its borders, the material produced to be pooled and utilized to the best advantage in the common war effort.

861922

- 2 -

The claimant is a retired civilian employee of the United States Government. During the time of such employment he invented certain improvements, etc., to military tank construction. These inventions he patented, coincidentally giving to the United States license to manufacture or use the inventions for its own purposes.

It has been determined administratively that the manufacture of the tanks and assemblies for the United Kingdom infringed the valid patents owned by the claimant, who took steps to assert his claim against the manufacturers. Thus, by reason of the agreement to indemnify the manufacturers against such claims, the United Kingdom became liable to the claimant for all such manufacture which took place under the contracts prior to the time when the United States took over the contracts, after which time such manufacture was "by and for the United States" and within the license given the United States by the claimant. The United States and the United Kingdom entered into a Patent Interchange Agreement effective January 1, 1942 (Treaties and Other International Acts Series 1513, as amended March 27, 1946, 60 Stat. 1566). Article VIII of the Patent Interchange Agreement reads in pertinent part as follows:

"\* \* \* For the purposes of this paragraph (a) claims asserted by nationals of the United States of America under any United States patents against United Kingdom Government contractors or subcontractors shall be construed to be claims subject to indemnification by the Government of the United States of America in cases where the Government of the United Kingdom has agreed and undertaken to indemnify and save harmless such contractors or subcontractors against any liability resulting from the use of any patented invention."

Article IX (b) of the same agreement reads:

W-11732

- 3 -

What ever term, as now, you or any such claim, the Government of the United States of America will, so far as "practicable, discharge of such claim through negotiations with the claimant." Apparently, pursuant to these provisions, the United Kingdom called upon the United States to negotiate with the claimant with respect to the portion of his claim covering infringement by manufacturer which took place after January 1, 1942, the effective date of the claim's interests in Germany. Thus appropriate to the present is the second Reichsmar Proprietary Act, 1942, under the heading "Actions Aig. Liquidation Antidote Proprietary" (cf. note 193) "for payment of claims against prior to Jan. 1, 1942, under a patent. Interactions agreement contained payment to" the liquidation act (S. note 11), have been made available to the Department of the Army. Negotiations between the claimant, the United Kingdom and the Department of the Army have resulted in (1) an agreement whereby the claimant accepted \$35,000 from the United Kingdom for the arrangements which took place prior to January 1, 1942, and (2) a proposed short release contract, intended with your letter, whereby the United States would pay \$35,000 to the claimant for infringement by manufacturer before January 1, 1942, and the dates of the respective "claims" agreements referred to above.

Article IV of the Patent Disposition Agreement, 1942, provides as follows:

"The patent rights, information, inventions, designs, or processes shall be reported by either Government under this Agreement

500-17

- 1 -

nor shall the immunities set forth in Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of this Agreement apply in respect of any use or infringement or carrying during the continuance in effect of a license agreement or other contractual obligation in existence on January 1, 1942 between a national of one Government on the one hand and a national of the other Government on the other hand covering such patent rights, information, inventions, designs, or processes; provided that if such license agreement or other contractual obligation be nonexclusive, such patent rights, information, inventions, designs, or processes may be requested by either Government under this Agreement in respect of their use or infringement by nationals of the requesting Government other than the national holding such license agreement or other contractual obligation and the immunities aforesaid shall, if otherwise as a limit, in accordance with their terms, apply to the same extent."

If the phrase "other contractual obligation" in this Article be construed to embrace a contractual obligation to indemnify against claims for infringement, it would seem that payment to Mr. Knobell under the proposed West African Contract would be improper, since the United Kingdom's contracts to indemnify the manufacturers were in existence on January 1, 1942, and continued in existence thereafter. However, it is urged in support of Mr. Knobell's claim that the phrase "other contractual obligation" was intended to refer only to other contractual obligations in the nature of licenses, such as could be entered into only between parties capable of giving and receiving rights similar to those transferred by license agreements. It is urged also that the language of Article 9, Article 1 of the Start Interchange Agreement, quoted above, so clearly covers the instant case as to make it seem impossible that the drafters of the Agreement could have intended to contract the effect of the law of the land thereof by writing conflicting language into Article . The final report

FD-3522

- 5 -

to the Secretary of State by the various members of the Joint British-American Patent Interchange Committee throw little light on what is meant by the phrase "other contractual obligation" in Article XV, but it indicates that the primary purpose of that article was to avoid situations in which licenses requisitioned under the Patent Interchange Agreement would render exclusive licensing, etc., given to others prior to January 1, 1942.

In a letter dated March 14, 1949, from the Under Secretary of State, with reference to the matter, it is stated:

"... representatives of this Department have also consulted with other persons more immediately familiar with the intention and operation of the Patent Interchange Agreement, including representatives of the British Government who have been concerned with these agreements. All such persons have confirmed the understanding of this Department that the Agreement was intended to cover claims of the type involved in the Rca case, and that to interpret the words 'other contractual obligations' in Article XV as referring to an obligation of the type represented by the liabilities given by the British Government to American manufacturing concerns would not be in conformity with their understanding of the intention of the Agreement. It is of the utmost importance in our foreign relations that agreements of this nature be carried out in accordance with the intentions of the signatory governments. To accomplish this end, interpretations placed upon the terms of the agreement by each government should be such as to further those intentions to the maximum possible extent."

In the circumstances, and since the interpretation placed on their own language by the drafters of an agreement must be given great weight, especially where the contracting parties are in a position as to the true meaning, I perceive no basis for interpreting my objection to the execution of the Patent Reliance Contract and

S-81072

- 6 -

the payment of the consideration covered thereby.

The contract and voucher are returned herewith.

Respectfully,

(Signed) *James C. Powers*  
Comptroller General  
of the United States.

Inclousure.

*RECEIVED  
M. S. J. H. K. B.  
RECEIVED*