REF ID: A39726

' Div. -55

Room, 6897

EPARTMENT OF COMMERC

Paner No.

Althomorphications respecting this pleasion should give the serial number date of filing, and name of the artificant

Address only
"The Commissioner of Patenta,
Washington, D. C.,"
and not any efficial by name

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE WASHINGTON

TWO CARBONS SENT.

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in

Dute

June 24 1736

charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents.

Applicant: WeP. PRINDMAN ET AL.

ROBERT V. LAUCHILIN & CHAS. A. ROWE, c/o Chief of the Air Corps, Munitions Didg., Ser. No. 70,412, Filed March 23, 1936 For CRYPTOGRAPHS.

34C

CITED TO INDICATE THE STATE OF THE ARTS

Norvie Lorn Schorbine

Washen DeCa

173.000

April 3, 1934 Oct. 29, 1929 Jun. 24, 1928 March 19, 1928 35-4

The claims are all rejected as drawn to matter insufficiently disclosed in structure and function of the universal bar.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected as functional and therefore indefinite in the "means to cause said commutators to perform", etc.

claims 9, 10 are rejected as failing to define because it sets forth only a result and not sufficient structure
to warrant the same in the "electrical means" of line 5.
Obviously the more fact that the means is conductive and surrent
is supplied is not sufficient structural resitation of what causes
the commutators to do substitution and control functions.

Claim 12 is rejected as failing to define the means of line 5 sufficiently in the electrical conductors since mere associating electric conductors with the commutator bodies will not necessarily produce the result claimed.

Claim 15 is rejected as innocurate in "self-contrelled". Claims lip and 15, based on 15, are rejected. The commutators are operated by mechanism connected to the keys.

Claim 16 is rejected as inacourate as just explained, and as failing to define in "permit". The device not only

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 09-27-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526

SECRET



Ser, No. 70,412 -2-

permits but by its use positively esuses the consutators to set as claimed, "Permit the same commutators to function alternately", etc., is a statement of result without sufficient basis in structural statement.

Claim 18 is imaptly worded in line ? "means to provide"
the means is the "channels" themselves and might just as well
be called <u>electrical conductors</u>. The means as set forth is
functional and indefinite since even if electrical channels are
provided this structure does not necessarily mean that "substitution"
will be performed by one series and control by the other-

Claims 19 and 20 dependent thereon are rejected as inscourate, as above noted, in self-controlled.

Claims 21-25 having expressions above criticised, are rejected.

Claim 27, line 8, "electrical instrumentalities", etc., is functional and indefinite, since as above intimated, "electrical" in of no especial significance and "control" may be exercised without essaing the same commutator to perform two different functions.

The claims are grouped as follows:

- I. Generio olaime.
- II. Specific to some or all of Pige. 3, 5, 6, 7. Claims 2, 9-12, 16-28.
- III. Specific to Fig. 1. Claims 3-8, 13-15, 29-55.

 Since the generic claim is rejected, DIVISION AND

 ELECTION are required under Rule 41 between the claims of groups

 II and III, and all claims are further rejected because of this requirement.

SECRET

•SECRET

3er. No. 70,412 -3-

In various views, an article which occurs but once in the respective views is shown twice, for example, member 504, in Figure 1. In every instance, the informality should be avoided by suitable amendment. These and other informalities may await allowance of any claim under Order 2749.

All claims are rejected.

EXAMENER.